



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/675,588	10/675,588 09/30/2003		Mickey James Darvial	44046.103.250.1 9934		
22859	7590	01/31/2006		EXAMINER		
		PROPERTY GRO	PUROL, SARAH L			
FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A. 200 SOUTH SIXTH STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 4000				3634		
MINNEA	POLIS, M	IN 55402	DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/675,588	DARVIAL, MICKEY JAMES				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sarah Purol	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Oc	ctober 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 35-57 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 13-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/8/04. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/675,588

Art Unit: 3634

٨

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,4,22,23,24,25,27,31,32,33,34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gessert 5,924,577. Note Figure 5. Note Horizontal base 6; vertical central wall 56 extending upward from the base with a storage area on both sides of the central wall; separating planks 32; supporting planks 34; separating fingers 12', 12".

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,26,28,29,30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gessert '577 in view of Wishart et al. 6,739,463. Gessert '577 teach the device absent vertical adjustability of the horizontal members. Wishart et al. teach a rack having vertical adjustability of the horizontal members for the purpose of accommodating different size articles stored thereon. To modify Gessert with vertical adjustability of the horizontal members 32 as taught by Wishart for the purpose of accommodating different size panels would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. The exact means for

Application/Control Number: 10/675,588

Art Unit: 3634

attaching the vertical and horizontal members is a matter of choice as is the size and shape of the rack for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Claims 5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Similar racks are illustrated by Maurer et al. 3,349,924 and Allen 2,865,513.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah Purol whose telephone number is 571-272-6834. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. Tue. Thurs. For general questions relating to this application please e-mail the examiner at Sarah.Purol@USPTO.GOV.

The examiner prefers e-mail over telephone correspondence whenever possible.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,588 Page 4

Art Unit: 3634

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

AU 3634